| | mv |
|--|---|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| 09/998,804 | HALDAR ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Joseph E. Avellino | 2143 |
| (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate committed (IGHTS). This application is | ith the correspondence address in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due course. THIS subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative |
| gust 6, 2007. | |
| | |
| e been received. e been received in Application of this communication to file MENT of this application. Initted. Note the attached EX es reason(s) why the oath const be submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Reviews Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Consit of BIOLOGICAL MAT | on No ed in this national stage application from the e a reply complying with the requirements AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF or declaration is deficient. w (PTO-948) attached or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the |
| 5. ☐ Notice of Inferview S Paper No 7. ☐ Examiner's 8. ⊠ Examiner's | nformal Patent Application Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | Doseph E. Aveilino Bears on the cover sheet with (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is and MPEP 1308. Bust 6, 2007. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) is been received. Be been received in Application communication to fill ocuments have been received. By of this communication to fill ocuments have been received. By of this application. Butted. Note the attached EX is reason(s) why the oath of the state of the submitted. By on's Patent Drawing Reviews is Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Chapter of the header of |

Application/Control Number: 09/998,304 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record does not provide for, nor suggests providing for gauging a users level of interest in a given subject. The method establishes a count of web pages that each contain a threshold number of keywords related to a given subject, a count of keywords related to the given subject that appear in web pages sent to the user by capping the page keyword count such that the value is not greater than a predetermined maximum number of instances of keywords, summing the capped page keyword counts to establish the count of keywords, wherein the count of keywords is not greater than the count of web pages multiplied by the predetermined maximum number of keywords. The measure is calculated by multiplying the keyword count value with a subjectspecific page count value, and then dividing that by the total page count value, which represents the number of web pages sent to the user. This entire process is conducted at a mobile-IP home agent. When the computer is connected to a foreign network, a "foreign agent" server on the network registers the computer with the home agent. In turn, communications to the computer are routed through the home agent, to the foreign agent, and on to the computer. If a user sends out an HTTP GET request to a web server, the foreign agent encapsulates the request with a header that designates the home agent as the return address. The Web server would send the requested web page to the user's home agent, and the home agent would then forward the web page to the foreign agent and on to the user's computer. The home agent would be able to customize a web page to provide interest-related information in the web page. For

Application/Control Number: 09/998,304

Art Unit: 2143

example, if the user is interested in music, the web page can be customized to provide music-related information in the web page, such as providing the user with an advertisement, a coupon, or news about music. The results can be normalized by comparison to other measures. The data includes information about user interest levels with respect to one or more subject matter categories maintained in a number of tables in a relational database format. The Category table would indicate the subject matter categories of interest. The Keyword table would indicate one or more keywords that are considered to relate to the subject matter. Each record of the keyword table may thus set forth a particular keyword ad be keyed to a particular subject mater category table. The Keyword table may also define for each keyword a respective value or weight. The User table would identify each user whose interest is measured. The user/category table would indicate for each user a measure of the user's interest level per subject matter category, and can indicate a respective measure of user interest. The User history table would contain measures of user interest over time. The table could be keyed to a particular user of the user table and to a particular subject matter in the category table, and, for a given date/time, a measure of the user's interest in that subject matter category. The gateway analyzes web pages that are sent to the user and, based on its analysis, establishes and updates the measures of the user's interest level in one or more subject matter categories. The gateway would increment the category-specific page count by one in response to any page that includes at least 10 keywords related to the subject matter category. The gateway can be programmed to

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/998,304

Art Unit: 2143

perform the analysis over a given time period to produce a measure of user interest for that period of time.

- 2. The closest prior art of record is the current rejection Herz-Eichstaedt-Shimizu-Rose-AAPA, however one of ordinary skill would not find it obvious to combine these references to produce the method and then implement this method in a home IP agent.
- 3. For these reasons, in conjunction with the other limitations of the independent claims, puts this case in condition for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/998,304

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call \$00-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Joseph E. Avellino, Examiner

August 6, 2007